



**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL  
5 POST OFFICE SQUARE, SUITE 100  
BOSTON, MA 02109**

**DATE:** MARCH 24, 2017

**PREPARED BY:** SA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**CASE #:** OI-BO-2017-CAC-0076

**CROSS REFERENCE #:** N/A

**TITLE:** UNKNOWN SUBJECT: POTENTIAL THREAT TO EPA ADMINISTRATOR  
SCOTT PRUITT VIA POSTCARD

**CASE CLOSING REPORT**

Subject(s)	Location	Other Data
UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	UNKOWN

**VIOLATION(S):**

Title 18 U.S.C § 876  
Title 18 U.S.C § 111

Mailing Threatening Communications  
Assaulting, Resisting, Impeding or Intimidating Certain Officers or  
Employees

**ALLEGATION:**

On March 15, 2017, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Office of Inspector General (OIG), Office of Investigations (OI), Washington Field Office (WFO), referred OIG hotline complaint number 2017-0160 to the Boston Field Office for investigation. This complaint was referred because of an unsolicited postcard with concerning rhetoric was sent to Administrator Scott Pruitt, EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, Washington, DC.

**FINDINGS:**

Upon examination of the postcard and rhetoric, statements such as, "Get out while you still can, Scott", "You are evil incarnite" and "you ignorant fuck" were hand written on the message portion of the postcard. Statements as such may not constitute a direct threat but are of concern to the Agency. The postcard is postmarked, "Boston, MA, 21 FEB 2017" and received in the Office of the Administrator on March 1, 2017. Also printed on the message side of the post card was the name of the image on the reverse side to be, "Symphony in Red" by James Abbott McNeill Whistler and the notation for the Museum of Fine Arts, Boston (MFA). It is unclear at this time of any conscience underlying message or significant meaning to the title of the image and

correlation to it being sent to Administrator Pruitt. There was no sender information or signature recorded on the postcard.

OI inquired with the United States (US) Postal Inspection Service of the (b) (7)(E) and was met with negative results.

OI inquired with the Security Operations, Department of Protective Services, MFA, Boston, MA of the possibility in determining the origin of sale and/or a purchaser of the postcard could be determined. OI was told the postcard is not sold through outside vendors and most likely purchased at the MFA. As for determining when or whom may have purchased the postcard, OI was met with negative results.

OI inquired with the (b) (7)(E) and was met with negative results.

**DISPOSITION:**

(b) (5), (b) (7)(E), the facts of this case were not presented to the United States Attorney's Office, District of Massachusetts for prosecutorial consideration.

Pending the discovery of new facts or evidence to support the violation(s) and/or identification of a subject(s) this case will be closed at this time.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200  
Dallas, Texas 75202

DATE: APRIL 18, 2017

PREPARED BY: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

CASE #: OI-CH-2017-CAC-0077

CROSS REFERENCE #: H/L 2017-0161

TITLE: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

CASE CLOSING REPORT

Subject(s)	Location	Other Data
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	Massillon, Ohio	

**COMPLAINT:** On March 15, 2017, Special Agent (SA) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Office of Investigations (OI), Office of Inspector General (OIG), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Chicago Field Office (CFO), initiated an investigation based upon information that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Civilian, Massillon, Ohio, sent an obscene postcard to current EPA Administrator, Scott Pruitt.

**BACKGROUND:** The postcard was postmarked February 23, 2017 in Cleveland, Ohio. The postcard was sent to Mr. Pruitt with a return address sticker: (b) (6), (b) (7) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). Database queries verified that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is the current resident at the address listed on the postcard. Additional law enforcement queries with local, state and federal agencies were returned and showed (b) (6), (b) (7) has no prior arrest history or contact with law enforcement agencies.

**INVESTIGATIVE FINDINGS:** Investigative activities consisting of an interview with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) failed to provide evidence sufficient for prosecution that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was threatening Mr. Pruitt. Specifically, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) made no specific threat in the postcard and during the interview apologized and stated (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) made sure not threaten anyone. Additionally, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) expressed no interest to travel to see Mr. Pruitt or cause him any physical harm.

**RECOMMENDATION:** The matter was presented to the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Ohio who declined the matter. The EPA also made the decision to not issue a BAR notice against (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). No further investigative activity remains and therefore, it is recommended that this investigation be closed.

**Attachments(s):** None



**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL**

**DATE:** JULY 26, 2017

**PREPARED BY:** SA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**CASE #:** OI-HQ-2017-ADM-0095

**CROSS REFERENCE #:**

**TITLE:** UNKNOWN SUBJECTS: INCIDENT AT MAYFLOWER HOTEL, WASHINGTON, DC

**CASE CLOSING REPORT**

<b>Subject(s)</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Other Data</b>
Unknown	WASHINGTON D.C.	

**VIOLATION:**

DC Code-22-3302- Unlawful entry on property.

**ALLEGATION:**

On April 7, 2017, the Office of Investigations (OI), Office of Inspector (OIG), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), initiated a criminal investigation after receiving a complaint that two unknown protestors attempted to disrupt EPA Administrator Scott Pruitt's speech during a closed conference held by the Environmental Council of States (ECOS) at the Mayflower Hotel in Washington D.C.

**FINDINGS:**

Concerning the allegation that the unidentified protestors violated DC Code-22-3302- Unlawful Entry on Property, there is sufficient evidence to support a finding that the unidentified individuals violated DC Code-22-3302- Unlawful Entry on Property.

On April 19th, 2017, during an interview with OI Special Agents, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Environmental Counsel of the States (ECOS) stated that the unidentified individuals who disrupted Administrator Pruitt's speech did not register for the event or pay the registration fee of \$700.00 to \$900.00 to attend the event.

**DISPOSITION:**

On May 8, 2017, the facts of the case were presented to the Misdemeanor Section, District of Columbia, United States Attorney's Office (USAO) for criminal violations of DC Code-22-3302- Unlawful entry on property. After being presented with the facts, the USAO declined prosecution due (b) (5), (b) (7)(E).

Based upon the foregoing and in consultation with the Office of Counsel, OIG EPA, there are no further investigative steps to be taken and this case is recommended for closure.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL  
1301 CONSTITUTION AVENUE, NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20004

DATE: JUNE 05, 2017

PREPARED BY: SA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

CASE #: OI-HQ-2016-CAC-0055

CROSS REFERENCE #: 2016-0131

TITLE: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), FORMER EPA EMPLOYEE, UNUSUAL INTEREST IN EPA ADMINISTRATOR

CASE SUMMARY REPORT

Subject(s)	Location	Other Data
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	WASHINGTON DC	N/A

COMPLAINT:

On March 18, 2016, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Special Agent (SA), Criminal Investigations Division (CID), Administrator's Personal Security Detail (PSD), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), notified the EPA, Office of Inspector General (OIG), of an alleged threat regarding emails and phone calls of unusual interest and direction, directed at Regina McCarthy (McCarthy), Administrator, EPA. The calls and emails were from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) former employee, EPA. In the context of the emails and phone calls, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) repeatedly stated that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) needed to speak to McCarthy regarding information (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) possesses which will assist Presidential Candidate Hillary Clinton's (Presidential Candidate Clinton) campaign. The communications were fielded by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) EPA, Washington, DC.

BACKGROUND:

Assistant Inspector General of Investigations (AIGI) Patrick Sullivan (Sullivan), Office of Investigations (OI), Office of Inspector General (OIG), EPA directed SA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Office of Professional Responsibility (OPR), OI, OIG, EPA, to initiate an investigation into whether (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) posed a credible threat against Administrator McCarthy.

INVESTIGATIVE FINDINGS:

The investigation revealed that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was previously employed by the EPA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). During (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) tenure with the EPA, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was (b) (6), (b) (7)(C).

RESTRICTED INFORMATION



EPA OIG Special Agents interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Office of Executive Services, Office of the Administrator, EPA on April 6, 2016. (b) (6), stated that (b) (6) received a telephone call from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) approximately three to four years ago. (b) (6), informed agents that (b) (6) found the contact to be concerning because during the telephone call, (b) (6), sounded “crazy” and “out of it.” (b) (6), explained that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) speech was slurred and (b) (6) contradicted (b) (6), numerous times. (b) (7)

On March 17, 2016, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) called (b) (6), via telephone. In addition, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) sent two inappropriate emails to (b) (6), during the same time period. In the context of emails and phone calls, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) repeatedly stated that (b) (6) needed to speak to Administrator McCarthy regarding information that (b) (6) has allegedly possessed which will assist Presidential Candidate Clinton’s campaign. In addition to information to assist Presidential Candidate Clinton’s campaign, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) requested that the EPA provide and pay for a lawyer, a cardiologist, a shopping trip in Georgetown, a flight to the DC area, and limo service from the airport. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) also requested an EPA ID, as well as access to Administrator McCarthy.

This investigation revealed that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) did not threaten any specific person or threaten to harm any EPA facility. In addition, neither (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) nor any of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) former co-workers interviewed by EPA OIG Special Agents stated they felt threatened by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

On December 12, 2016, the United States Attorney’s Office (USAO) declined prosecution of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) regarding allegations of threats toward the EPA administrator and her staff.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The investigation revealed that the allegation that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) posed a credible threat against the EPA Administrator or her staff is not supported. However, if additional information is discovered, or provided by an outside source, OI will assess such information and take appropriate action.

This case is recommended for closure with no further investigatory action.



**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL**

**DATE:** APRIL 26, 2017

**PREPARED BY:** SA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**CASE #:** OI-HQ-2015-CAC-0061

**CROSS REFERENCE #:**

**TITLE:** POTENTIAL PERJURY BY FORMER CSB CHAIRMAN RAFAEL MOURE-ERASO, SES, POLITICAL APPOINTEE

**CASE CLOSING REPORT**

Subject(s)	Location	Other Data
RAFAEL MOURE-ERASO, SES, POLITICAL APPOINTEE	WASHINGTON, DC	

**VIOLATION:**

18 U.S.C. §1621– Perjury

**ALLEGATION:**

On July 08, 2015, the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee (HOGRC) Chairman Jason Chaffetz (R-UT) and Ranking Member Elijah Cummings (D-MD) referred former Chemical Safety Board (CSB) Chairman Rafael Moure-Eraso (Moure-Eraso) to the U.S. Attorney General to investigate whether he should be criminally prosecuted for making false statements to Congress. The criminal referral follows two HOGRC hearings in June 2014 and March 2015, where Mr. Moure-Eraso contradicted other information provided to the Committee

**FINDINGS:**

On August 24, 2015, Special Agent (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and Special Agent in Charge (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Office of Inspector General (OIG), Office of Investigations, Office of Professional Responsibility presented investigative findings related to the Moure-Eraso referral to Assistant United States Attorney Jonathan Hooks, United States Attorney's Office, District of Columbia (USAODC) and Trial Attorney (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Department of Justice, Public Integrity Section (PIN). (b) (5), (b) (7)(E)

**DISPOSITION:**

On March 16, 2017, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), PIN declined to prosecute the case, USAODC concurred with PIN's declination. Moure-Eraso is no longer a federal employee, therefore this case was not presented administratively.

Based upon the foregoing, there are no further investigative steps to be taken and this case is recommended for closure.

**RESTRICTED INFORMATION**

Page 1

This report is the property of the Office of Investigations and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents may not be reproduced without written permission. The report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and its disclosure to unauthorized persons is prohibited. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. 552.





**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL**

109 TW Alexander Drive  
Research Triangle Park, NC

**DATE:** June 16, 2017

**PREPARED BY:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**CASE #:** OI-RTP-2017-CFR-0052

**CROSS REFERENCE #:**

**TITLE:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Security Officer, The Whitestone Group, Inc.

**CASE CLOSING REPORT**

Subject(s)	Location	Other Data
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	Research Triangle Park, NC	

**NARRATIVE:** The EPA OIG Hotline received a report (#2017-0085) of an apparent accidental discharge of a weapon by an EPA Contracted Security Guard occurring on January 10, 2017. The discharge occurred at the South Entrance Security Booth on the EPA Campus at Research Triangle Park (RTP), NC. No one was injured. The Security Guard, Officer (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), provided a statement to (b) (6) supervisors stating that (b) (6) jacket waistband strap was caught in (b) (6) holster, and when (b) (6) adjusted (b) (6) jacket the waistband strap pulled the trigger causing the discharge. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) supervisors provided statements confirming that the waistband strap was in the holster when they arrived shortly after the incident. When one of the supervisors safely removed the weapon from the holster (b) (6) noted that the slide was partially back and the spent casing was "stove-piped" in the chamber; therefore, the next round could not be fully chambered. A 2" divot was found on the floor and parts of the bullet and brass jacket were found in the area. The weapon was a 9mm Sig Sauer P226. The bullet was a Remington 9mm (b) (7)(E). The holster was a Blackhawk Serpa (right-handed).

**FINDINGS:** Interviews of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (the only eye witness), the supervisors who responded to the incident, review of a video reenacting the incident, and the OIG function testing of the pistol support the initial reports that the incident was an accidental discharge.

Since a criminal act was not identified this incident was not presented to the U.S. Attorney's Office. As such, this investigation was solely administrative, therefore, a Final Summary Report with supporting documentation was provided to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Office of Administration and Resources Management (OARM), RTP, for any administrative action deemed appropriate.

**DISPOSITION:** On April 10, 2017, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) reported that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was suspended from the EPA contract by The Whitestone Group pending the completion of the investigation. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) resigned from The Whitestone Group while awaiting the results of the investigation.

Immediately following the incident, The Whitestone Group initiated remedial training for every officer at on the contract to ensure that the officers' weapons were being holstered correctly and that the flaps on their jackets were secured properly. Additionally, based on this incident and other similar incidents that have occurred involving auto-lock, finger manipulation holsters, OARM is reviewing the statement of work to recommend equipment that prevent similar incidents for officers on The Whitestone Group contract.

This investigation was opened to determine the initial claims of an accidental discharge. The interviews and the function testing of the pistol proved this incident was an accidental discharge as opposed to weapons mishandling by (b) (6), therefore, this case is Closed.



**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL**

1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200  
Dallas, TX 75202

**DATE:** June 19, 2017

**PREPARED BY:** RAC (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**CASE #:** OI-DA-2017-CAC-0096

**CROSS REFERENCE #:** Hotline #2017-0215

**TITLE:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) TWITTER THREAT TO MURDER ADMINISTRATOR SCOTT PRUITT AND SENATOR MITCH MCCONNELL

**CASE CLOSING REPORT**

Subject(s)	Location	Other Data
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)	Paragould, Arkansas	

**VIOLATION(S):** 18 U.S.C. § 875 (Interstate Communication) and 18 U.S.C. § 115(a)(2) (Influencing, Impeding, or Retaliating Against a Federal Official by Threatening)

**ALLEGATION:** On April 9, 2017, Special Agent (SA) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Office of Investigations (OI), Office of Inspector General (OIG), United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Washington, D.C., discovered a threatening post on Twitter directed towards Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell and EPA Administrator Scott Pruitt. The tweet was posted by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Paragould, Arkansas.

**FINDINGS:** On April 10, 2017, Resident Agent in Charge (RAC) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), OI, OIG, EPA, Dallas, Texas, coordinated this investigation with SA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI), Littlerock, Arkansas.

On April 11, 2017, RAC (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) coordinated this investigation with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Joint Terrorism Task Force, FBI, Tulsa, Oklahoma; and (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Tulsa Police Department, Tulsa, Oklahoma.

On April 12, 2017, SA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) who admitted to posting the tweets in question regarding Senator McConnell and Administrator Pruitt on April 8, 2017. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) stated (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was drinking while watching the Rachel Maddow show and posted the tweets as a flippant comment, not realizing at the time that they could be considered a threat. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) related (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) included Administrator Pruitt in (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) tweets because (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) does not like Administrator Pruitt's record of suing the EPA. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) stated (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was very sorry for (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) actions and did not intend to threaten anyone. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) explained (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) had no means to act on the threats.

**RESTRICTED INFORMATION**

Page 1

This report is the property of the Office of Investigations and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents may not be reproduced without written permission. The report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and its disclosure to unauthorized persons is prohibited. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. 552.

**DISPOSITION:** On April 10, 2017, SA (b) (6), (b) (7) presented this case to Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) Ali Ahmad, United States Attorney's Office, Eastern District of Arkansas, Little Rock, Arkansas, for criminal prosecution. AUSA Ahmad declined prosecution under the aforementioned statutes (b) (7)(E), (b) (5) [REDACTED]. This case is closed.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

1301 Constitution Ave NW  
Washington, DC 20460

DATE: June 22, 2016

PREPARED BY: Special Agent (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

CASE #: OI-HQ-2014-ADM-0118

CROSS REFERENCE #:

TITLE: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), REGION 8

CASE CLOSING REPORT

Subject(s)	Location	Other Data
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Region 8	1595 Wynkoop Street Denver, CO 80202-1129	

**VIOLATION(s):** Ethics, an employee shall not engage in criminal, infamous, dishonest, immoral or disgraceful conduct, or other conduct prejudicial to the government. 5 CFR 735.203, 3 FAM 4139.14

**ALLEGATION(s):**

Allegations unethical conduct by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**FINDINGS:**

On July 1, 2014, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Office of General Counsel, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) provided information to Assistant Inspector General (AIG) Patrick Sullivan, EPA Office of Inspector General (OIG), Office of Investigations (OI), regarding an allegation of unethical conduct by Region 8 (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) advised that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was informed of an alleged romantic relationship between (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and a (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) EPA employee believed to be a GS13 within (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) chain of command; approximately four levels below (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) position. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) advised AIG Sullivan that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) would follow up with additional details. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

On July 3, 2014, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) provided the following information via email:

- (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), is the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) employee in the relationship with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
- (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
  - (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), in a separate email to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), advised that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) first raised this allegation to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

RESTRICTED INFORMATION

This report is the property of the Office of Investigations and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents may not be reproduced without written permission. The report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY and its disclosure to unauthorized persons is prohibited. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. 552.



○

The individuals in EPA Region 7 who did the fact finding:

- (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Region 7  
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Region 7

EPA OIG Special Agents (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) on July 8, 2014, and July 9, 2014, interviewed six witnesses in person and by telephone. Memorandum of interviews were prepared. Some of the witnesses provided sworn statements. These interviews confirmed the relationship between (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and (b) (6), (b) (7)(C).

On July 8, 2014, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7) and Special Agent (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), EPA, OIG, OI, interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Region 8, EPA, regarding allegations that (b) (6), and (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Region 8, EPA, were engaged in an inappropriate relationship possibly violating EPA Order 3120.1, Table of Offenses & Penalties (7) (Conduct Which is Generally Criminal, Infamous, Dishonest, Immoral or Notoriously Disgraceful), and 5 U.S.C. 2635.502 (a)(2) (Personal and Business Relationships). (b) (6), signed a non-disclosure agreement, a Garrity Rights Advisement, and provided a sworn statement.

(b) (6), stated in the interview it was hard to say when (b) (6) relationship with (b) (6), began. They first started talking in the fall of 2013. (b) (6), and (b) (6), saw each other at an office holiday party and happy hour birthday party for a mutual friend. By the beginning of 2014, (b) (6), was interested in more than a platonic relationship with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C).

An additional six interviews were conducted on July 8, 2014, and July 9, 2014. The six interviews were:

- 1) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), EPA, Region 8
- 2) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), EPA, Region 8
- 3) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), EPA, Region 8
- 4) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), EPA, Region 8
- 5) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), EPA, Region 8
- 6) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), EPA, Region 8

The reporting agent elected not to do an electronic mail review due to the resignation of the subject.



**DISPOSITION:** Subject Resigned.

On July 31, 2014, the EPA, OIG received and reviewed the Request for Personnel Action (SF-52) documenting (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) resignation as (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Region 8, EPA. The Request for Personnel Action was provided by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Office of Administration and Resources Management, EPA. The Request for Personnel Action details that effective July (b) (6), 2014, (b) (6), resigned (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). No further investigative work was completed as a result of the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) resignation.